# 0216-116 Enc

SEALED

BY COL

## United States District Court

# FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

CR16 0116

NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC,
MICHELINA PERNA,
a/k/a Michelle Perna,
a/k/a Michelle Perry,
a/k/a Sonia Perry, and
ROBERTO MANCINI,





### INDICTMENT

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) - Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering;
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud;
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1341 - Mail Fraud;
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1343 - Wire Fraud; Criminal Forfeiture;
Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)

JOSEPH C SPERO
UNITED STATES MACISTRATE JUDGE
A true bill.
Foreman
Filed in open court this $17 + 4$ day of
March 2016
CARM h HOW RAREN L. HOM
Clerk
Bail, \$ 10 boil a rest we

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT  OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Mastrate/Location NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 7 COAC
Laundering;	C DEFENDANT - U.S SUSAN Y SOONS
and Wire Fraud;	NORTHEDI U.S. DISTRICT COUR
Count's 3-6: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud; and Count 7: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	NEMR HALLAK
PENALTY: Please see attached.	C DISTRICT COURT NUMBER 1 16
BURT	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)  USPIS	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2)  Is a Fugitive
	3)  Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY  4)  On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5)  On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	Has detainer Yes   If "Yes" give date filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form BRIAN J. STRETCH	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned)  Robert S. Leach	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS:	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

### Count One:

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) – Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$500,000 fine or twice the value of the monetary instrument or funds involved in the transportation, transmission, or transfer 3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Count Two:

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Counts Three Through Six:

18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Count Seven:

18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

DEEENDANT INCORMATION DELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judie Migistrate, Location
OFFENSE CHARGED USUPERSEDING	<u> </u>
Count 1: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) – Conspiracy to Commit Money Petty	SAN FRANCISCO PANSION
Laundering; Count 2: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud Minor	
and Wire Fraud; Counts 3-6: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud; and	NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT
Count 7: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	TOLGA SUATAC
X Felony	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: Please see attached.	Id Pia Aii6
BYANGER	VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VII
Hoo I P	DEFENDANT PAGE
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior
USPIS	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2) 🦳 Is a Fugitive
☐ give name of court	
	3) Son Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW	5)  On another conviction
of:  Which were dismissed on motion  OCKET NO.	<b>,</b>
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
<u> </u>	Transver to (o) is Test, show hame of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes"
defendant MAGISTRATE	been filed? No give date filed
CASE NO. prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST 7
	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form BRIAN J. STRETCH	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
✓ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Robert S. Leach	<u> </u>
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFO	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:	
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

### Count One:

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) – Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$500,000 fine or twice the value of the monetary instrument or funds involved in the transportation, transmission, or transfer 3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

Count Two:

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

Counts Three Through Six:

18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

Count Seven:

18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magairat/ Lecation
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA C
Count 1: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) – Conspiracy to Commit Money Petty	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 17 PHIO
Laundering; Count 2: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S SUSAN Y SOON
and Wire Fraud; Counts 3-6: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud; and Misde	1 1 A "" PIOTRIAN AT VUIRY
Count 7: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	
PENALTY: Please see attached.	CRIG 0116
OLOCED	RMO
UIDER	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior
USPIS	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Son Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	4) On this charge  5) On another conviction  Federal State  6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	Has detainer Yes   If "Yes" give date filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form BRIAN J. STRETCH	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Robert S. Leach	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ADDITIONAL INFO	DRMATION OR COMMENTS
PROCESS:	·
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	belote duage.

### Count One:

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) - Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$500,000 fine or twice the value of the monetary instrument or funds involved in the transportation, transmission, or transfer 3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Count Two:

18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Counts Three Through Six:

18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Count Seven:

18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAMPORNIA
Count 1: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) – Conspiracy to Commit Money Petty	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
Laundering;	C DEFENDANT - U.S TAR 1/2016
and Wire Fraud;	SUSAL
Counts 3-6: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud; and Count 7: 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud	SUSAN Y, SOONG  **ROBERTO MANCINI **NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT  **ROBERTO MANCINI **NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT  **TOTAL COURT OF CALIFORNIA.**
Felony	ROBERTO MANCINI  NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: Please see attached.	_
Q.P.	CR16 0116
REAL	DEFENDANT TO
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
	If not detained give date any prior     summons was served on above charges
USPIS person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2)  Is a Fugitive
give name of court	-/ 15 d 1 dg/m15
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	on this shalps
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion	5)  On another conviction
of:  Which were distrilissed on motion  DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRATE  CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form BRIAN J. STRETCH	TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Robert S. Leach	☐ This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
	ORMATION OR COMMENTS
PROCESS:	C CIT ON MINISTER
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount: None
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

### Count One:

18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) - Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$500,000 fine or twice the value of the monetary instrument or funds involved in the transportation, transmission, or transfer 3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Count Two:

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### **Counts Three Through Six:**

18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

\$100 special assessment (18 U.S.C. § 3013)

### Count Seven:

18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud

Maximum Penalties: 20 years imprisonment

\$250,000 fine or not more than the greater of twice the gross gain

or twice the gross loss (18 U.S.C. § 3571)

3 years supervised release (18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(b) & 3559(a))

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) 1 Acting United States Attorney 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 11 12 Plaintiff, VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) -Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering; 13 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. NEMR HALLAK, 14 § 1341 - Mail Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1343 -TOLGA SUATAC Wire Fraud; Criminal Forfeiture – 18 U.S.C. MICHELINA PERNA, §§ 981(a)(1)(C) & 982(a)(1) & 28 U.S.C. 15 a/k/a Michelle Perna, § 2461(c) 16 a/k/a Michelle Perry, a/k/a Sonia Perry, and 17 ROBERTO MANCINI. SAN FRANCISCO VENUE Defendants. 18 19 20 INDICTMENT 21 The Grand Jury charges: 22 **Introductory Allegations** 23 At all relevant times unless otherwise specified: 24 1. NEMR HALLAK resided in Quebec, Canada. HALLAK controlled and used the e-mail 25 accounts checktocash75@gmail.com, michaelhemmel@gmail.com, nemrhallak@gmail.com, and 26 B3atleyeha@gmail.com. 27 2. TOLGA SUATAC resided in Quebec, Canada. SUATAC was an officer of 9226-6162

Quebec Inc., a Canadian company that used the name "Green Gate Management Inc." SUATAC

28

INDICTMENT

controlled and used the e-mail accounts tolga\_montreal@yahoo.com and tolga@greengatekk.com.

- 3. MICHELINA PERNA, a/k/a Michelle Perna, a/k/a Michelle Perry, a/k/a Sonia Perry, resided in Quebec, Canada. PERNA and SUATAC were husband and wife. PERNA controlled and used the e-mail accounts michelle\_perna@ymail.com, Sonia\_perry@ymail.com, and michelle@greengatekk.com.
- 4. ROBERTO MANCINI resided in Quebec, Canada. On or about February 4, 2013, MANCINI became the sole officer of Green Gate America, Inc., which was formed on September 15, 2010, in Delaware. MANCINI controlled and used the e-mail accounts mancini.robert@sympatico.ca, robert@greengatekk.com, and brian.james5577@yahoo.com.
- 5. From at least May 2009 through June 2014, N.M. and A.V. operated a fraudulent telemarketing scheme from Quebec, Canada. N.M. and A.V. deceived thousands of U.S. small businesses, churches, cities, and others into paying money for a phony "business listing optimization" service. N.M. and A.V. formed shell companies in Nevada, including Internet Company Services Inc., Nation Wide Business Network Inc., Search Engine Technologies Inc., and On Line Business Systems, LLC, to conduct the scheme. Victims throughout the United States were cold-called from Canada, falsely told they had agreed to purchase "business listing optimization" services, and sent bogus invoices via the U.S. mail demanding payment. Victims were directed to mail checks to addresses in the United States addresses which were, in fact, UPS mailboxes or virtual offices including a UPS store at 426 Howard Street in San Francisco. Thousands of victims paid based on the false and misleading calls and invoices.

<u>COUNT ONE</u>: (18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) – Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering)

6. From at least in or about March 2011 through in or about May 2013, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC, MICHELINA PERNA, and ROBERTO MANCINI,

and others, did knowingly conspire to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A) and (B).

<u>2</u>7

28 controlled

7. It was a part and an object of the conspiracy that HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, MANCINI, and others would and did transport, transmit, and transfer, and attempt to transport, transmit, and transfer, monetary instruments and funds from a place in the United States to and through a place outside the United States and to a place in the United States from and through a place outside the United States with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity, namely, the fraudulent telemarketing scheme of N.M. and A.V. described in sum and substance in paragraph 5, which constituted mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, and wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, and knowing that the monetary instruments and funds involved in the transportation, transmission, and transfer represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity and knowing that such transportation, transmission, and transfer was designed in whole or in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, namely, mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, and wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

### Means and Methods of the Money Laundering Conspiracy

- 8. It was a part of the conspiracy that HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused shell companies to be formed in Delaware and Florida by a network of nominees, who were paid in cash to be listed as officers and directors of the shell companies. The names of the shell companies generally matched the names of entities N.M. and A.V. had already formed in Nevada.
- 9. As further part of the conspiracy, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused the nominees to open bank accounts in the names of the shell companies at financial institutions in Florida that HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI controlled.
- 10. As further part of the conspiracy, victim checks issued to entities formed by N.M. and A.V. were forwarded from UPS stores or virtual offices in the United States including the UPS store at 426 Howard Street in San Francisco to an address in Montreal, Canada.
- 11. As further part of the conspiracy, victim checks initially forwarded to Canada were delivered back to the United States and deposited in the bank accounts opened by the nominees.
- 12. As further part of the conspiracy, N.M. and A.V. wired money from accounts they controlled to bank accounts opened by the nominees.

- 13. As further part of the conspiracy, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused funds from the bank accounts opened by the nominees to be transferred to accounts located at Canadian financial institutions.
- 14. As further part of the conspiracy, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused cash to be returned to N.M. and A.V.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

COUNT TWO: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud)

- 15. Paragraphs 1 through 4 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference.
- 16. From at least in or about January 2010 through in or about August 2014, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC, MICHELINA PERNA, and ROBERTO MANCINI,

and others, did knowingly conspire to knowingly, and with intent to defraud, devise and intend to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting to do so, cause mail and other matter to be sent and delivered by the United States Postal Service and private and commercial interstate carriers and transmit and cause to be transmitted wire communications in interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1341 and 1343.

### Means and Methods of the Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud Scheme

- 17. It was a part of the scheme that HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, MANCINI, and others operated a "business directory" telemarketing scam (separate from the scam operated by N.M. and A.V.). Using a variety of means, including false and misleading cold calls and bogus invoices, the defendants deceived churches, doctors' offices, non-profits, mom-and-pop stores, and small businesses into paying for business directory services they neither ordered nor received.
- 18. As further part of the scheme, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused shell companies to be formed in Florida and Delaware in the names of nominees, who were paid in cash

to be listed as officers and directors of the shell companies. Many of the shell companies used the term "Yellow Pages" to suggest their operations were legitimate. The shell companies included Super Business Yellow Pages Inc., Commercial Yellow Pages Inc., Direct Yellow Pages Inc., Local Business Info Connection Inc., Effective Engine Search Inc., Your Yellow Pages Inc, Horizon Search Solutions, Inc., Yellow Book Pages, Inc., City Pages Inc, Rapid Pages Inc, Assurance-Com, Inc., Pro Market Target Inc., Regional Search Service Corp, Business Yellow-Pages.Info Inc., National Search Guide Inc, Optimum Web Exposure Inc, and Optimum Search Solutions Inc.

- 19. As further part of the scheme, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused the nominees and others to open bank accounts in the names of the shell companies.
- 20. As further part of the scheme, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused others to cold-call victims and make false statements, including that they had a pre-existing business relationship with a "Yellow Pages" or other entity formed by the defendants; that they had previously purchased business directory services from the defendants; that they owed the defendants for those services; that they owed the defendants anywhere between \$400 and \$1800 for a previously purchased business directory listing; and that the fees were for the second year of a two-year contract.
- 21. As further part of the scheme, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused others to send bogus invoices, falsely stating or suggesting that victims owed for service they did not order or receive. When such initial misrepresentations were not sufficient to induce payment, victims were harassed and threatened with legal action and sent additional bogus invoices with additional charges.
- 22. As further part of the scheme, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, and MANCINI caused the nominees or others to open mailboxes or virtual offices to receive payment and to forward the mail to Canada. Proceeds from the scheme were deposited in U.S. financial institutions and wired to Canada.
- 23. As a result of the scheme, HALLAK, SUATAC, PERNA, MANCINI, and others collected at least approximately \$10.8 million.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

COUNTS THREE THROUGH SIX: (18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud)

24. Paragraphs 1 through 4 and 15 through 23 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference.

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

11

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

25. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

> NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC, MICHELINA PERNA, and ROBERTO MANCINI,

did knowingly, and with intent to defraud, devise and intend to devise a material scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting to do so, did knowingly place in a post office and authorized depository for mail matter a matter and thing to be sent and delivered by the United States Postal Service, and deposit and cause to be deposited a matter and thing to be sent and delivered by private and commercial interstate carrier, and take and receive therefrom a matter and thing, and knowingly cause to be delivered by mail and such carrier according to the direction, namely:

COUNT	DATE	DESCRIPTION
THREE	5/5/2011	Mailing from Victim C.A. in Oakland, CA, including \$100
		check to Direct Yellow Pages
FOUR	7/26/2011	Mailing from Victim J.V.'s business in Hayward, CA,
		including \$732.19 check to Direct Yellow Pages
FIVE	2/25/2013	Mailing to Victim J.L.'s business in Seaside, CA, including
		receipt for \$399.55 payment to Your Yellow Pages
SIX	6/30/2013	Mailing to Victim J.L.'s business in Seaside, CA, including
		receipt for \$349.96 payment to Rapid Yellow Pages

Each in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341.

### **COUNT SEVEN:**

(18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud)

- 26. Paragraphs 1 through 4 and 15 through 25 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference.
- On or about the date set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, 27. the defendants,

NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC, MICHELINA PERNA, and ROBERTO MANCINI,

did knowingly, and with intent to defraud, devise and intend to devise a material scheme and artifice to

INDICTMENT

defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting to do so, did transmit, and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, namely:

COUNT	DATE	DESCRIPTION
SEVEN		Faxed invoice for \$1,532.31 from City Yellow Pages, 20
		Commerce Drive, Suite 135, Cranford NJ 07016, Fax (855) 279-2883, to Victim J.R. in Monterey, California

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

**FORFEITURE ALLEGATION:** 

(18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C) & 982(a)(1) & 28 U.S.C. § 2461 – Forfeiture of Fraud Proceeds; Money Laundering Forfeiture)

- 28. The factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 27 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a)(1), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.
  - 29. Upon conviction of the offense alleged in Count One, the defendants.

NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC, MICHELINA PERNA, and ROBERTO MANCINI,

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), any property, real and personal, involved in the violation alleged in Count One.

30. Upon conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts Two through Seven, the defendants,

NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC, MICHELINA PERNA, and ROBERTO MANCINI,

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, any property, real and personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to said violations, including but not limited to a sum of not less than

\$10.8 million, representing the amount of proceeds obtained as a result of the offenses alleged in Counts 1 2 Two through Seven. 31. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of said property 3 4 a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; 5 b. has been transferred or sold to or deposited with a third person; 6 c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; 7 d. has been substantially diminished in value; or 8 e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty; any and all interest defendant has in any other property shall be forfeited to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a)(1), and Title 28, United States Code, 10 Section 2461. 11 12 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 982(a)(1), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461. 13 14 15 DATED: March 17, 2016 A TRUE BILL 16 17 **FOREPERSON** 18 19 **BRIAN J. STRETCH** 20 Acting United States Attorney 21 22 A. GUENTERT 23 Deputy Chief, Criminal Division 24 (Approved as to form: 25 26 27 28

INDICTMENT

# **United States District Court Northern District of California**

# STALED BY GOULT BY CRIMINAL COVER SHEET

Instructions: Effective January 3, 2012, this Criminal Cover Sheet must be completed by Defendant Information Form, for each new criminal case.  NEMR HALLAK, TOLGA SUATAC, MICHELINA PERNA, a/k/a Michelle Perna,  Case Name: a/k/a Michelle Perry, a/k/a Sonia Perry and ROBERTO MANCINI	Case Number:
Total Number of Defendants:  1	Is This Case Under Seal?  Yes No
Does this case involve ONLY charges under 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and/or 1326?  Yes No	
Venue (Per Crim. L.R. 18-1):  SF OAK SJ EUR MON	
Is any defendant charged with a death-penalty-eligible crime?  Yes No	Assigned AUSA (Lead Attorney): ROBERT S. LEACH
Is this a RICO Act gang case?  Yes No	Date Submitted: 03/17/16
Comments:	





